

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,989	09/26/2001	Roland N. Walker	12160.2	2969	
21999 75	90 06/27/2005		EXAMINER		
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE			BAHTA, ABRAHAM		
1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 45120			1744		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>					
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/964,98	9	WALKER, ROLAND N.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Abraham		1775			
The MAILIN	NG DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s - If NO period for reply in Failure to reply within - Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION by be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of from the mailing date of this communication. Specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the set or extended period for reply will, by state the Office later than three months after the mail justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu od will apply and wil ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) day l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	4 January 200	<u>)5</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action	n is FINAL. 2b)⊠ 1	This action is	non-final.				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-34 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the a	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10,16-20,25 and 31-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>11</u>	Claim(s) <u>11-15,21-24 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and	I/or election re	quirement.				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specific	ation is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing	(s) filed on <u>26 September 2001</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner.			
Applicant n	nay not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The propose	d drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌 ap	proved b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved	, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Of	īce action.				
12)☐ The oath or	declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledg	gment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐	Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certi	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.☐ Certi	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)☐ Acknowledgr	nent is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional application).			
• —	nslation of the foreign language p ment is made of a claim for dome	•					
Attachment(s)							
·	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ire Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)) <u>3</u> .		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office	Action Summar	у	Part of Paper No. 2			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-34 are pending in this application. Claims 1-10 and 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Further, the amendment filed 03/22/04 indicates that claims 25 and 31-34 (previously examined) are now withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claims 11 and 24 contain terms that are internally inconsistent. It appears that applicant intends to refer to each of the flowers in the group of flowers. Therefore, the following suggestion is made for claim 11. On line 3 delete the phase "group of" (second occurrence). On line 6 delete the phrase "the group of".

The following suggestion is made for claim 24: On line 3 delete the phrase "group" of" (second occurrence). On line 6 delete the phrase "the group of".

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The term "overlay" as recited in claims 11 has no support in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 11 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed.

had possession of the claimed invention. On page 7, line 21 through page 8, line 2 the specification recites the image may be provided onto an organic product in variety of manners, for example, the images may be printed, painted, sprayed, copied. transferred, etched, embossed, engraved, scratched, stamped, cut, imprinted, scored, carved or otherwise marked into at least a portion of an organic product; however, claims 11 and 24 recite each of the group of flowers is free from etching and laser exposure and pressure. The applicant is reminded that a negative limitation recited in the present claims, which did not appear in the specification as filed, introduce new concepts and violate the description requirement of the first paragraph of 35 US 112, (In re Anderson, 471 F. 2d. 1237, 176 USPQ 331 (CCPA 1973)). There is no clear support for this negative limitation.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 11-15, 21-24 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, line 5 the term "image" (second occurrence) lacks antecedent basis. As best understood the image is printed on the overlay and therefore, the overlay (padprinted with the image) is overlaid on each of the flowers.

Claim 13 is unclear. The claim which depend on claim 11 recites the image has been pad-printed; however, as best understood the image is printed on an overlay and the overlay transferred onto the flower as stated in claim 11. Correction is requested.

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 24 is confusing. The claim on line 4 recites "each of the group of flowers has its petals detached" and on lines 5-6 the it is recited "the image is overlaid on each of the group of said flowers"; however, it is not clear how an image is overlaid on a flower after its petal has been detached or removed because a flower with its petals detached is not a flower. Correction is requested.

Response to Applicant's Argument's/Remarks

The applicant admits that the words "free from etching and laser exposure and pressure" are not expressly included in the specification; however, argues that the negative limitation recited in the claims merely specified a characteristic of some of the embodiments taught or discussed in the original specification and cites an example that painting an image is inherently free from etching and laser exposure and pressure. Examiner contends that negative limitation recited in the claims of the subject application do not appear in the specification as filed and in fact introduce new concepts and violate the description requirements of the first paragraph of 35 USC 112. The specification on page 7, line 21 through page 8, line 2 in fact expressly states that the image may be provided onto the organic product by etching, scratching, cutting embossing, scoring and carving which require pressure. Further, the Examiner contends that the image may be provided on the organic product by laser exposure because the specification on page 8, lines 1-2 states that the image may otherwise be marked into a portion of the organic product. Therefore, the recitation such that "free from etching and laser exposure and pressure" introduces new concept/matter and the applicant is requested to cancel the new matter in the response to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Abraham Bahta whose telephone number is (571) 272-1532. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 11:30 AM -8:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Bahta

06/14/05

DEBORAH JONES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER